UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

l	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
_	10/784,478	02/23/2004	Paul Haefner	GUID.606PA	1794	
	51294 HOLLINGSW(7590 04/16/2007 ORTH & FUNK, LLC		EXAMINER		
	8009 34TH AV	_		KAHELIN, MICH	IAEL WILLIAM	
	SUITE 125 MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55425		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,	·	3762		
_						
L	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER'	Y MODE	
	3 MO	NTHS	04/16/2007	PAP	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			<u>_</u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
_	10/784,478	HAEFNER, PAUL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael Kahelin	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	-				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N	lovember 2006.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 30-36</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 30-36</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) 1-19 and 30-36 is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Coo the attached detailed embe detail to a list of the defined depict het recently.							
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application					

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/13/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 7, 8, 10, 16-19, 30, 31, and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Diack et al. (US Re. 30,750, hereinafter "Diack").
- 4. In regards to claims 1 and 30, Diack discloses detecting a composite electrical signal and separating a signal from the composite signal (Fig. 14, element 110 and col. 18, line 66), receiving information associated with a non-electrophysiological source (Fig. 14, element 122), and verifying that the

Art Unit: 3762

separated signal is a cardiac signal, e.g. a "normal" cardiac signal, using both signals (Table 1). Further, the composite signal is acquired at a subcutaneous non-intrathoracic location (col. 26, line 17).

- 5. In regards to claims 4, 7, 8, and 10, the non-electrophysiological cardiac source comprises acoustic information, blood flow information, pulse pressure information, and impedance information (col. 2, line 26).
- 6. In regards to claims 16 and 17, a cardiac condition is detected using a correlation between the signals (abstract and Table 1).
- 7. In regards to claims 18, 19 and 34-36, a cardiac arrhythmia is detected and treated (abstract and Table 1).
- 8. In regards to claim 31, because the two signals are "and-ed", the two signals are time-correlated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-19 and 30-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yomtov et al. (US 5,388,578, hereinafter "Yomtov") in view of Joo et al. (US 2005/0240234, hereinafter "Joo"). Yomtov discloses the essential features of the claimed invention including the following:

Art Unit: 3762

11. In regards to claims 1, 4-10, and 30, Yomtov discloses detecting a composite electrical signal at a subcutaneous non-intrathoracic location (Fig. 1), separating a signal from the composite signal and verifying that the separated signal is a cardiac signal using a second cardiac source signal (element 96; col. 17, line 40; and Figs. 8A and 8B). Yomtov does not disclose that the second cardiac source signal is a non-electrophysiological signal comprising acoustic emission information, blood flow information, pulse pressure information, pulse oximetry information or transthoracic impedance information. Joo teaches of utilizing both an electrophysiological and non-electrophysiological signal comprising acoustic emission information, blood flow information, pulse pressure information, pulse oximetry information or transthoracic impedance information to confirm a cardiac pulse (abstract and par. 0104) to provide redundancy in recognizing a cardiac pulse using conventional equivalent transduction means susceptible to different forms of noise, thusly providing highly accurate arrhythmia recognition. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yomtov's invention by utilizing both an electrophysiological and non-electrophysiological signal to confirm a cardiac pulse to provide redundancy in recognizing a cardiac pulse using conventional equivalent transduction means susceptible to different forms of noise, thusly providing highly accurate arrhythmia recognition.

12. In regards to claims 2, 3, 11, and 31-33, Yomtov discloses that the second signal is used to define a detection window comprising a QRS complex through correlation (col. 14, line 24).

Art Unit: 3762

- 13. In regards to claims 12 and 13, a peak separation is determined and used to identify a cardiac signal (col. 15 line 23).
- 14. In regards to claims 16, 17, 18, 34, and 36, a cardiac condition is detected using a correlation between the two signals (see above) wherein the condition is arrhythmia (col. 3, line 23).
- 15. In regards to claims 19 and 35, the arrhythmia is treated (col. 8, line 43). Because defibrillation protection circuitry is provided, a defibrillator must be present, whether part of device 32, or external to device 32.
- 16. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yomtov in view of Joo, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wells (US 2003/0032889 hereinafter "Wells"). The modified invention of Yomtov discloses the essential features of the claimed invention except for separating signals using blind source separation and independent component analysis. Wells teaches of identifying constituent signals using blind source separation and independent component analysis (par. 0007) to separate signals where little is know of their individual contributions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the modify the modified invention of Albrecht by identifying constituent signals using blind source separation and independent component analysis to separate signals where little is know of their individual contributions.

Response to Arguments

17. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 and 30-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3762

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kahelin whose telephone number is (571) 272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MWK NA NA GEORGE R. EVANISKO PRIMARY EXAMINER